New GAWBS Noise Interacting with Longitudinally Propagating Acoustic Waves in Few-mode Fibers

Masato Yoshida⁽¹⁾, Takaaki Hirai⁽¹⁾, Shohei Beppu^{(1),(2)}, Keisuke Kasai⁽¹⁾, Toshihiko Hirooka⁽¹⁾,

Masataka Nakazawa⁽¹⁾, Yuta Wakayama⁽²⁾, and Noboru Yoshikane⁽²⁾ (1) Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8577, Japan

(2) KDDI Research, Inc., 2-1-15 Ohara, Fujimino-shi, Saitama, 356-8502 Japan

masato@riec.tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract: We describe the GAWBS noise characteristics in few-mode fibers (FMFs). We found that the GAWBS noise is newly generated due to an interaction between different LP modes through longitudinally propagating acoustic waves. © 2024 The Author(s)

1. Introduction

3M technology based on multi-level modulation and space-division multiplexing (i.e., multi-core and multi-mode fibers) has attracted much attention as a promising approach for a significant increase in the transmission capacity of a single optical fiber [1]. Recently, fiber capacities exceeding 10 Pbit/s have been demonstrated using 19-core, 6-mode [2] and 38-core, 3-mode [3] fibers. In a long-haul coherent transmission using 3M technology, it has been revealed that guided acoustic-wave Brillouin scattering (GAWBS) noise [4, 5] is one of the factors in performance degradation [6-12]. The GAWBS noise characteristics in single-mode fibers (SMF) [13-15] and multi-core fibers [16, 17] have already been reported in detail, where a "cross-sectional acoustic wave" was the origin of the GAWBS noise. On the other hand, although the GAWBS noise spectrum in few-mode fibers (FMFs) has been observed [18, 19], the noise characteristics have not yet been clarified.

In this paper, we present the GAWBS noise characteristics in FMFs. First, we measured the GAWBS noise in a two-LP-mode fiber and found that the $R_{0,m}$ acoustic modes cause not only phase noise but also intensity noise for the LP₁₁ mode as a result of mode-coupling between the degenerate LP_{11a} and LP_{11b} modes. Then, by extending the mode number to a general FMF case, for example six-LP-mode fiber, we clarify that the GAWBS noise is newly generated due to an interaction between the different LP modes through "longitudinally propagating acoustic waves".

2. GAWBS noise in FMFs

Thermally excited acoustic waves in the cross section of an optical fiber cause a dielectric constant change $\Delta \varepsilon$ in accordance with the photoelastic effect. Then, $\Delta \varepsilon$ causes mode-coupling between two eigenmodes (E_{μ}, E_{ν}) in the fiber [20]. The mode-coupling coefficient is given by [15, 21]

$$\kappa_{\mu\nu} = -i \frac{k_0}{2n_0\varepsilon_0} \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \left\{ E_{\mu}(r,\theta) \Delta\varepsilon(r,\theta) E_{\nu}(r,\theta) \right\} r dr d\theta}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \left\{ E_{\mu}(r,\theta) E_{\nu}(r,\theta) \right\} r dr d\theta}.$$
(1)

Here, k_0 is the propagation constant, ε_0 is the permittivity in free space, and *a* is the fiber radius. In eq. (1), the coupling coefficient is expressed as not a real but an imaginary number. Thus, the mode-coupling induces the optical phase change. In SMF, since there is only one mode ($E_{\mu} = E_{\nu}$), the optical phase change is given as follows.

$$\delta\phi = k_0 l \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a \left\{ \Delta n(r,\theta) E_\mu^2(r,\theta) \right\} r dr d\theta}{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a E_\mu^2(r,\theta) r dr d\theta}$$
(2)

Here, *l* is the fiber length, $\Delta n (=\Delta \varepsilon/2n_0\varepsilon_0)$ is the refractive index change caused by an acoustic mode. Eq. (2) is well known as the GAWBS phase noise. However, the GAWBS noise characteristics in FMF may be significantly different from those in SMF since the mode-coupling between different LP modes will occur according to eq. (1).

First, we measured the GAWBS noise spectrum in a 38.6 km-long FMF supporting two LP modes using delayed self-heterodyne detection. The FMF had a cladding diameter of 125 μ m, and A_{eff} values of 114.1 and 151.6 μ m² for the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes, respectively [22]. The crosstalk between the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes was less than - 20 dB, it was small enough to be negligible for the GAWBS noise measurement. The measurement setup is shown in Fig. 1. As a continuous wave (CW) light source, we used a fiber laser with a linewidth of 4 kHz, which is sufficiently narrower than the



Fig. 1. Experimental setup for measuring GAWBS phase noise spectra in a 38.6 km-long FMF supporting two LP modes.

linewidth of the GAWBS noise spectrum (~ MHz). The CW carrier was split into two paths, and one signal was launched into the FMF. The other was used as a local oscillator (LO) after downshifting the frequency by 7 GHz, which enabled heterodyne detection. We observed the intermediate frequency (IF) signal with an RF spectrum analyzer.

We measured the GAWBS phase noise spectra generated in the FMF when the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes were launched individually via a mode-multiplexer. We selectively detected the LP₀₁ or LP₁₁ mode via a modedemultiplexer at the receiver. Figure 2 shows the GAWBS phase noise spectra obtained by detecting the excited LP mode, where we measured the GAWBS phase noise for LP₀₁ \rightarrow LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ \rightarrow LP₁₁. Here, the calculated results of the GAWBS phase noise induced by the R_{0,m} acoustic modes using eq. (2) are also plotted with circles. In the GAWBS noise spectrum for the LP₀₁ mode shown in Fig. 2(a), the profile was almost the same as that generated in SMFs and agreed well with the calculation results. In contrast, the spectral profile of the LP₁₁ mode had two lobes as shown in Fig. 2(b), and noise components in the high frequency region above 300 MHz were larger than the numerical calculation results.



Fig. 2. GAWBS phase noise spectra observed in a 38.6 km-long FMF when the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes were excited individually.

To find the origin of the noise generated above 300 MHz, we detected the intensity modulation components of the optical carrier transmitted in the FMF by direct (intensity) detection. The direct detection spectrum is shown in Fig. 3, where noise components corresponding to Fig. 2(b) were observed in the frequency region above 300 MHz. Here, the circles in Fig. 3 show the coupling power between the degenerate LP_{11a} and LP_{11b} modes calculated by substituting the dielectric constant change due to the $R_{0,m}$ acoustic modes into eq. (1), which agreed well with the measured spectrum. Although the interaction of the $R_{0,m}$ acoustic modes causes the optical phase change, it is converted into intensity noise through the linear mode-coupling between the degenerate LP_{11a} and LP_{11b} modes in FMF. These results indicate that the $R_{0,m}$ acoustic modes cause not only phase noise but also intensity noise for the LP_{11} mode.



Figure 4 shows the GAWBS phase noise spectrum when we detected the unexcited LP mode with a modedemultiplexer, which corresponds to $LP_{01} \rightarrow LP_{11}$ plotted with a solid line. The circles also show the coupling power between the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes calculated by substituting the dielectric constant change due to the TR_{1,m} acoustic modes into eq. (1), which agreed well with the measured spectrum. This means that there is an interaction between the LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes through the TR_{1,m} acoustic modes with the axial wave vector (i.e. longitudinally W2B.22

propagating acoustic waves), which leads to phase matching between these LP modes. Note here that this phenomenon does not occur as a result of linear crosstalk (- 20 dB) between LP₀₁ and LP₁₁ modes.

As described above, we found that GAWBS noise is newly generated due to an interaction between the different LP modes through longitudinally propagating acoustic waves in FMF. This indicates that the total power of the GAWBS noise will increase as the number of LP modes in the FMF increases.

Next, to confirm our thought, we measured the GAWBS noise characteristics in a 48 km-long FMF supporting six LP (LP₀₁, LP₁₁, LP₂₁, LP₀₂, LP₃₁, and LP₁₂) modes [23] using the same setup as in Fig. 1. Figure 5 shows the GAWBS phase noise spectra obtained by detecting the LP₀₁ mode via a mode-demultiplexer. When only the LP₀₁ mode was excited as shown in Fig. 5(a), the spectral profile was almost the same as that obtained with the two-LP-mode fiber shown in Fig. 2(a). On the other hand, when all six LP modes were simultaneously excited as shown in Fig. 5(b), many GAWBS noise components were newly generated through mode-couplings from the other LP modes. The observed spectrum agreed well with our calculation.



Fig. 5. GAWBS phase noise spectra observed in a 48 km-long FMF supporting six LP modes when we detected the LP₀₁ mode.

We calculated the GAWBS noise coefficient Γ from the power ratio of the IF signal to the total noise power for both sidebands. When only the LP₀₁ mode was excited as shown in Fig. 5(a), the calculated Γ was -31.5 dB/Mm. This result indicates that since the A_{eff} of FMF is larger than that of standard single-mode fiber (SSMF: A_{eff} = 80 μ m², Γ = -29.7 dB/Mm [15]), the GAWBS noise coefficient is relatively low. On the other hand, when all six LP modes were simultaneously excited as shown in Fig. 5(b), the total noise coefficient increased to as much as -25.7 dB/Mm, which is 4 dB larger than that of SSMF.

Although the A_{eff} of FMF is large, where the ordinary GAWBS noise coefficient is low, it should be noted that when there are mode-couplings between different LP modes, the total GAWBS noise largely increases through phase-matching with the longitudinally propagating acoustic waves.

3. Conclusions

We found that a new GAWBS noise is generated in FMFs through mode-couplings between different LP modes. This suggests that the GAWBS noise is more significant in a space-division multiplexed transmission when using an FMF supporting many LP modes than that in SMF.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), Research and Development of Innovative Optical Network Technology for a Novel Social Infrastructure (JPMI00316) (Technological Theme II: OCEANS).

References

- [1] M. Nakazawa et al., ECOC 2010, Plenary Talk.
- [2] D. Soma et al., J. Lightwave Technol. 36(6) 1362 (2018).
- [3] G. Rademacher et al., OFC2020, Th3H.1.
- [4] R. M. Shelby et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**(9) 939 (1985).
- [5] R. M. Shelby et al., Phys. Rev. B, 31(8) 5244 (1985).
- [6] M. Nakazawa et al., Opt. Express 26(8) 9465 (2018).
- [7] M. A. Bolshtyansky et al., OFC2018, M4B.3.
- [8] M. Paskov et al., OFC2019, Tu3J.3.
- [9] V. Ivanov et al., ECOC2020, Tu2E-6.
- [10] E. R. Hartling et al., J. Lightwave Technol. 39 742 (2021).
- [11] M. Bolshtyansky et al., OFC2022, W3E.1.
- [12] V. Ivanov et al., IEEE Photonics Conference, MF2.2 (2022).
- [13] N. Takefushi et al., Opt. Express 28(3) 2873 (2020).
- [14] M. Yoshida et al., Opt. Express **28**(23) 34422 (2020).

- [15] F. Yaman et al., Opt. Express **29**(11) 17628 (2021).
- [16] N. Takefushi et al., Opt. Express 28(15) 23012 (2020).
- [17] M. Yoshida et al., IEICE Trans. Commun. E105-B(2) 151 (2022).
- [18] R. Ryf et al., Photosensitivity and Poling in Glass Waveguides &
- Materials 2014, BW1D.4.
- [19] T. Matsui et al., Appl. Opt. **54**(19) 6093 (2015).
- [20] D. Marcuse, The Bell Syst. Tech. J. 54(6) 985 (1975).
- [21] M. Nakazawa et al., J. Lightwave Technol. 41(11) 3358 (2023).
- [22] R. Maruyama et al., Opt. Express 22(12) 14311 (2014).
- [23] Y. Wakayama et al., OECC2017, 3-1K-4.