OFC 2020 © OSA 2020

NOKIA Bell Labs

# Transceiver Technologies for Next-Generation PON

Dora van Veen and Vincent Houtsma

OFC 2020

Abstract		
<b>Transceiver Technologies for Next-generation PON Networks</b> Dora van Veen and Vincent Houtsma		
We will review the specific requirements for upgrading passive optical networks and present recent research on high speed optical transmission for Next-Generation TDM-, TWDM- and WDM-PONs based on low cost optical and DSP technologies.		
Presentation Number: W1E.2		
2 NOKIA Bell Labs		

OFC 2020 © OSA 2020













1/24/2020





OFC 2020 © OSA 2020

## Introduction

Challenges burst mode transmission

• For an AC-coupled burst mode receiver the capacitor has to be selected carefully to optimize burst settling time, RC tail leakage from loud to soft burst transition as well as immunity to consecutive identical digits (CID)









OFC 2020 © OSA 2020





#### Past Beginnings of PON

- Not long after introduction of fiber in the DLC (digital loop carrier) feeder did investigation of Fiber to the home (FTTH) start
- First considered architectures were P2P in the form of active double star (active point to point) and single star (point to point) because they are technically the simplest
- British Telecom identified a passive double star (PON) as the most economical and practical way for Telco's to be ready for future broadband services (CATV, BISDN, etc.) in the 80's already
- First burst mode receiver was reported in 1990 by Ota and Swartz
- In 1995 six European telco's and NTT joined to form an industry forum which is now called FSAN (full service access networks) to drive up the volumes.
  - First they defined 155/155 Mb/s ATM-PON

	Edward Harstead and Pieter H. van Heyningen. Optical access networks. In Ivan P. Kaminow and Tingye Li, editors, Optical Fiber Telecommunications IVB, pages 438-513. Academic Press, San Diego, 2002.	
17	NOKIA Bell L	abs

#### Past

Beginnings of PON: BT telephony over passive Optical Network (TPON)











OFC 2020 © OSA 2020





OFC 2020 © OSA 2020







## Present

25 Gb/s line-rate PON – IEEE 802.3ca

- Relative penalty 10G APD receiver sensitivity versus 25G APD sensitivity ~ 5-5.5 dB
- · Dispersion penalty mitigated by also using O-band in downstream
- Standardization by IEEE (2020)

10 Gb/s downstream IEEE 802.3av	25 Gb/s downstream IEEE 802.3ca	D (dB)
	Relative b2b penalty ~5-5.5 dB	-5.5
ER=6 dB	ER=8 dB	-4.5
RS(255,239): BERin=1e-3	LDPC: BERin=1e-2	-3
Min. ave. launch power +2 dB	Min. ave. launch power + 5dB	0

NOKIA Bell Labs



#### Future

Line-rate > 25 Gb/s

- A 25 Gb/s TDM-PON was still possible with NRZ modulation and use of APD/TIA receivers and without digital signal processing (enabled by O-band transmission in both directions and high gain LDPC-based FEC)
- For line-rates beyond 25 Gb/s we need to continue to find new ways to achieve the required PON optical power budget and chromatic dispersion tolerance
- Standardization of 50 Gb/s line-rate TDM-PON has started in ITU-T SG15 Q2











1/24/2020

W1E.2.pdf

OFC 2020 © OSA 2020





OFC 2020 © OSA 2020

### Future

## Low complex coherent PON

- Low complexity/cost coherent
- Heterodyne detection based on 2x2 coupler
- Polarization diverse detection based on 3x3 coupler (heterodyne/intradyne)





OFC 2020 © OSA 2020











## Future

Flexible PON - line-rate

- More bandwidth granularity can be achieved with multi-carrier modulation like DMT with bit-loading
- Example: mapping of 25 Gb/s receiver sensitivity to -3 $\sigma$  of normal distributed received power distribution yields ~32 Gb/s for PAM-2/3/4, ~34 Gb/s for NRZ/EDB, and ~35 Gb/s for DMT/OFDM





 With an EML it is difficult to increase the output power and reduce chirp simultaneously, you can't design the refractive index change and the absorption coefficient independently The optical loss will be large when the chirp parameter is designed to be small and visa versa, and will be large when the chirp parameter is designed to be





OFC 2020 © OSA 2020







