# Towards 6G: Machine Learning Driven Resource Allocation in Next Generation Optical Access Networks (Invited)

Mo3C.5

Elaine Wong<sup>(1)</sup> and Lihua Ruan<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Dept of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia.
<sup>(2)</sup> School of Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, P.R. China.
<u>ewon@unimelb.edu.au</u>

**Abstract** 6G networks will deliver immersive applications that bridge real and digital worlds. The nextgeneration optical access network is a potential optical transport solution. In view of dynamic network conditions, we propose a machine learning driven solution that rapidly self-adapts to support new 6G applications. ©2022 The Author(s)

## Introduction

Over the last 30 years, optical access networks have continued to evolve to satisfy the everincreasing bandwidth demand, customer numbers and quality-of-service requirements of fixed business and residential, as well as 4G and 5G mobile network deployments [1]. Specifically, passive optical network (PON) standards are now specifying speeds of up to an aggregate 50 Gbps, e.g. IEEE 802.3ca 25G/50G-EPON standard [2] and the ITU-T G.9804 High Speed PON [3]. With a point-to-multipoint physical topology that harnesses a shared fibre infrastructure, low component count alongside an unpowered optical PON distribution network (ODN), yields substantial cost-savings [4]. The physical topology of the PON also lends itself naturally to statistically multiplexing densely located remote radio units (RU) of the centralised radio access network (RAN) architecture. In this architecture, Central/Distribution Units (CU/DUs) are located at the central office of the PON and are connected directly via the ODN to remote Radio Units (RUs) located at the optical network units (ONUs).

Today, as mobile networks evolve towards 6G with the use of increasingly higher carrier frequencies that necessitates shorter-ranged, smaller-sized and highly-dense cells, the abovementioned benefits of PON as a mobile fronthaul (MFH) solution, is just as relevant. With fibre installations penetrating deeper towards the end user equipment (UE) and with speeds of 50 Gbps and beyond, PON technology is expected to increase the reach and provide the capacity required by 6G. The business case to consider an optical rather than a radio access network (RAN) becomes even more compelling when spare fibre in already installed optical access networks, e.g. urban cities, is available for use [5].

However, 6G is envisioned to support diverse and immersive applications including mixed reality (XR), holographic communication, humanto-machine/robot communications, Tactile Internet, digital sensing, *etc.* [6]. So even though the deployment of PON technology may meet MFH bandwidth requirements, open challenges pertaining to meeting differing quality-of-service requirements of 6G immersive applications, still exist. That is, not only must the unprecedented demand for high-bandwidth capacities (> 1Tbps) be met, ultra-low latencies (~ microseconds), ultra-high reliability (6 nines), and massive connectivity over 3D coverage areas must also be supported [1].

As such, 6G will need to adopt truly open intelligent RANs (O-RANs) with and interoperable RAN elements and RAN software, alongside machine intelligence to enable realtime network resource and network failure management decisions. In this regard, the O-RAN Alliance supports the functional split of option 7.2 to meet high-capacity and highreliability requirements [7]-[8]. The ability of enhanced Enhanced Common Public Radio Interface (eCPRI) to run on Ethernet is a significant advantage in urban areas and in indoor environments such as factories and office blocks. At this functional split, baseband processing is partially or wholly executed in the RU, and packetised eCPRI data is transmitted through an Ethernet interface over the PON. Option 7.2 has a strict latency requirement of 250 µs (one way) on the MFH. With the PON serving as the optical fronthaul, the uplink latency performance is highly dependent on how bandwidth is allocated to each RU for uplink transmission seeing that in the upstream direction, bandwidth is shared.

Innovative bandwidth allocation schemes to satisfy the uplink MFH bandwidth and latency requirements have been previously proposed. These rely on the report-grant process typical of TDM-PONs [9], including cooperative-dynamic bandwidth allocation (DBA) schemes that uses fronthaul traffic information through a cooperative transport interface message to improve overall



Fig. 1 An illustration of MFH DBA.

latency and bandwidth utilisation, e.g. [10]-[13], priority scheduling DBA based on traffic load [14], bandwidth guaranteed DBA based on network slicing of mobile and other services [15], and selfadjusting DBA that dynamically adjusts fronthaul allocation intervals based on reports [16].

Considering a dynamic network environment that also supports immersive experiences, the MFH of 6G must be able to rapidly adapt to changing traffic patterns and network conditions. In this work, we propose a rapid and self-adaptive Machine Learning (ML) driven DBA that incorporates reinforcement learning (RL) to achieve self-adaptive and optimised bandwidth decision for the MFH, with transfer learning (TL) to reduce the decision learning time. Here, we show an uplink latency of less than 150 µs can be attained in a 16 ONU-RU XGS-PON MFH under different traffic pattern and load scenarios.

#### Fast Self-Adaptive DBA (FSA-DBA) for MFH

Different to conventional DBAs, and as shown in Fig. 1, existing MFH DBA schemes rely on the synchronization of the PON DBA report-grant process with the MFH bandwidth allocation process through CU-OLT scheduling and the estimation of the bandwidth required by ONU-RUs, i.e.,  $T_{\text{grant}}$ . In existing MFH DBA schemes,  $T_{\text{grant}}$  estimation depends on knowing ONU-RUs traffic characteristics.

Our proposed FSA-DBA does not depend on prior knowledge of MFH traffic for fast and selfadaptive  $T_{\text{grant}}$  decision. Through RL, the CU-OLT progressively adjusts  $T_{\text{grant}}$  based on the rewards received from executing different  $T_{\text{grant}}$ decisions. To reduce latency, a negative uplink latency from allocating a  $T_{\text{grant}}$  in MFH is deemed as the reward. The CU-OLT then uses a decisionvalue function, termed as  $Q_{\text{target}}$ , to associate a  $T_{\text{grant}}$  with its long-term average reward. The  $Q_{\text{target}}$  in turn serves as the metric to adjust  $T_{\text{grant}}$ . Such an iterative learning and decision adjustment process enables self-adaptive and optimized  $T_{\text{grant}}$  decision.

As the decision exploration in RL costs time, rapid learning that reduces the number of nonnoptimised  $T_{\text{grant}}$  decisions is thus critical to reducing uplink latency. We leverage TL to reuse existing decision-value knowledge to expedite



Fig. 2 Latency performance of FSA-DBA with different traffic patterns in simulations.

learning. Referring to a set of source decisionvalue set  $\mathbf{Q} = \{Q_{source,n}\}$  pre-acquired either through simulations or past MFH operations, the FSA-DBA identifies the source knowledge, indexed by  $n^*$ , that is most related to the learning of the  $Q_{target}$  as follows:

$$n^* \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_n |Q_{\operatorname{source},n} - Q_{\operatorname{target}}|$$
 (1)

The distance  $|Q_{\text{source},n} - Q_{\text{target}}|$  in (2) implies the similarity between the source environment where  $Q_{\text{source},n}$  is obtained and the current learning environment [16]. Knowing  $Q_{\text{source},n^*}$  guides the decision exploration in a neighbourhood range  $D = [T^*_{\text{grant.n}^*} - \delta, T^*_{\text{grant.n}^*} + \delta]$  to the  $T^*_{\text{grant.n}^*} = \arg\max Q_{\text{source},n^*}$ . For fast convergence, the greedy policy is adopted to adjust  $T_{\text{grant}}$ :

$$T_{\text{grant}} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{D} Q_{\text{target}}$$
 (2)

With the source knowledge to guide the decision exploration, learning time can be reduced. In operation, an ONU-RU will report its latency every *K* cycles. At the CU-OLT,  $Q_{target}$  will be updated based on the empirical reward reported by ONU-RUs. Subsequently,  $T_{grant}$  is adjusted by referring to the source **Q** set following (1) and (2).

### **Performance Evaluation**

We perform packet-driven simulations to validate the latency and learning rate of the FSA-DBA. We consider a 10 km-link XGS-PON with 16 ONU-Rus to support a MFH with 500Mbps radio access capacity. We further upstream packet arrivals to be exponentially (EXP) [10]-[11], Generalized Pareto (GP) [17] and Gamma (GA) [17] distributed.

Fig. 2 compares the latency performance of FSA-DBA, cooperative DBA scheme with arrival estimation in [12] and baseline DBA scheme, i.e.,

IPACT via report-grant process. Unsurprisingly, the baseline scheme incurs the highest latency since each packet needs to be first reported and then granted bandwidth. At minimum, the packets wait for approximately 100 µs, i.e. round-trip between ONU-RU and the CU-OLT. Using cooperative DBA eliminates the report-grant process, and hence the latency is reduced as compared to the baseline DBA scheme. Nonetheless, decisions for  $T_{grant}$  arer based on the arrival estimation of fixed-length cycles (50 us) and its results in Fig. 2, clearly reflect its lack of flexibility towards different traffic patterns and loads. In comparison, FSA-DBA does not encounter such issues due to its ability to selfadaptively adjust bandwidth decisions as well as grant cycles, achieving the lowest uplink latency (150 µs) with optimised decisions via explorative learning.

We also investigated the learning rate and latency of applying FSA-DBA to empirical traffic traces previously collected from an immersive human-to-robot application experiments. [18]. Simulated Q functions in afore-mentioned settings, i.e., EXP, GP, GA distributed traffic and ONU-RU loads between 0.1 to 1, were used as source Q to assist the learning of  $Q_{\text{target}}$  for our empirical traffic. With K = 10, our results highlight that TL, only a few tens of iterations are needed to explore an optimal decision as compared hundreds of iterations with just RL.

### Summary

We proposed an ML-driven DBA that rapidly selfadapts bandwidth allocation decisions to achieve low-latency uplink transmissions in the MFH. Results show uplink latencies of less than 150 µs can be achieved with self-adaptive decisions under different traffic patterns and load scenarios. By using simulated decision-value knowledge to assist empirical decisions, decision learning is further expedited. With PON as a potential optical fronthaul solution, the mobile proposed bandwidth allocation scheme, FSA-DBA, not only satisfies the uplink MFH bandwidth and latency requirements of 6G immersive experiences but also rapidly self-adapts to changing traffic conditions.

### References

- [1] E. Wong, "Towards 6G: The evolution of passive optical networks," to be published in *Proc. of IEEE Photonics Conference*, Nov. 2022.
- [2] IEEE 802.3ca-2020, "IEEE Standard for Ethernet amendment 9: Physical layer specifications and management parameters for 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s PONs," 2020.

- [3] ITU-T Recommendation G.9804.3, "50-Gigabitcapable Passive Optical Networks (50G-PON): Physical media dependent (PMD) layer specification," 2021.
- [4] E. Wong, "Next-generation broadband access networks and technologies," J. Lightw. Technol., vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 597–608, 2012.
- [5] C. Ranaweera, J. Kua, I. Dias, E. Wong, C. Lim, and A. Nirmalathas, "4G to 6G: Disruptions and drivers for optical access [Invited]," *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 14, no. 2, A143–A153, 2022.
- [6] S. Mondal and E. Wong, "Global-Local AI Coordinated Learning over Optical Access Networks for Scalable H2M/R Collaborations," *IEEE Network*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 124-130, 2022.
- [7] "O-RAN: Towards an open and smart RAN," O-RAN Alliance, White Paper, 2018.
- [8] M. Polese, L. Bonati, S. D'Oro, S. Basagni, and T. Melodia, "Understanding O-RAN: Architecture, Interfaces, Algorithms, Security, and Research Challenges," https://arxiv.org/pdf/2202.01032.pdf
- [9] G. Kramer, B. Mukherjee, and G. Pesavanto, "IPACT a dynamic protocol for an Ethernet PON (EPON)," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 40, no.2 pp. 74-80, 2002.
- [10] T. Tashiro, S. Kuwano, J. Terada et al., "A novel DBA scheme for TDM-PON based mobile fronthaul," in *Proc. of OFC 2014*, 2014.
- [11] D. Hisano, Y. Nakayama, H. Nakamura, J. Terada and A. Otaka, "Predictive bandwidth allocation scheme with traffic pattern and fluctuation tracking for TDM-PON-based mobile fronthaul," *IEEE J. Selected Areas in Communs.*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 2508–2517, 2018.
- [12] H. Uzawa et. al., "Practical Mobile-DBA Scheme Considering Data Arrival Period for 5G Mobile Fronthaul with TDM-PON," in *Proc. of ECOC*, 2017.
- [13] S. Bidkar, R. Bonk, and T. Pfeiffer, "Low-latency TDM-PON for 5G xhaul," in *Proc. of ICTON*, 2020.
- [14] S. Hatta, N Tanaka, and T. Sakamoto, "Low latency dynamic bandwidth allocation method with high bandwidth efficiency for TDM-PON," *NTT Tech. Rev.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1–7, 2017.
- [15] H. Uzawa et al., "Dynamic bandwidth allocation scheme for network slicing-based TDM-PON toward the beyond-5G era," *IEEE/OSA J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. A135–A143, 2020.
- [16] A. Zaouga, A. F. de Sousa, M. Najjar and P. P. Monteiro, "Self-adjusting DBA algorithm for next generation pons (NG-PONs) to support 5G fronthaul and data services," *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1913–1924, 2021.
- [17] M. Maier and A. Ebrahimzadeh, "Towards Immersive Tactile Internet Experiences: Low-latency FiWi Enhanced Mobile Networks with Edge Intelligence," *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. B10–B25, 2019.
- [18] L. Ruan, M.P.I Dias and E. Wong, "Achieving Low-Latency Human-to-Machine (H2M) Applications: An Understanding of H2M Traffic for AI-Facilitated Bandwidth Allocation," *IEEE IoT J.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 626–35, 2021.